

# Computational Investigation of Large Integer Solutions on Cubic Diophantine Surfaces

$$z^2 + y^2z + x^3 + Ax + B = 0$$

Przemyslaw Chojecki  
ulam.ai

18th March 2026

## Abstract

We investigate the problem of finding three distinct integer solutions  $(x, y, z)$  with  $|x| > 10^{50}$  to six cubic Diophantine equations of the form  $z^2 + y^2z + x^3 + Ax + B = 0$ . We employ a battery of techniques including the even-power polynomial ansatz, elliptic fibration analysis, K3/rational elliptic surface theory, Mordell curve analysis, secant methods on cubic surfaces, norm-form descent over cubic number fields, and systematic computer search. We establish that all six surfaces are rational elliptic surfaces with Picard rank  $\rho = 3$ , confirming that parametric families exist in principle. However, the specific polynomial coefficients remain elusive, requiring values far beyond our computational reach of  $|a| \leq 10^5$ .

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Problem statement</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Reference solutions and the PDF approach</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Brute-force search for small solutions</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The even-power ansatz: systematic search</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Viable triples and the resultant method . . . . .	3
4.2	Lambda-structured triples . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>Tangent-plane rational curves</b>	<b>3</b>
5.1	Construction . . . . .	3
5.2	Integer solutions from tangent planes . . . . .	4
5.3	The double-contact condition . . . . .	4
<b>6</b>	<b>Secant method on the cubic surface</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Mordell curve analysis (Eq. 5, <math>z = 1</math> slice)</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Elliptic fibrations and the Picard lattice</b>	<b>5</b>
8.1	The K3/rational elliptic surface . . . . .	5
8.2	Alternative fibrations via pencils . . . . .	5
8.3	Picard rank via Shioda–Tate . . . . .	5
8.4	Known sections . . . . .	5
8.5	Bounded surface coordinates . . . . .	5
8.6	No global Cassels inverse . . . . .	6

<b>9</b>	<b>Number field descent</b>	<b>6</b>
9.1	The cubic field . . . . .	6
9.2	Norm-form approach . . . . .	6
9.3	Quadratic ansatz over $K$ . . . . .	6
<b>10</b>	<b>Summary and status</b>	<b>6</b>
10.1	Why the PDF equation is easier . . . . .	7
10.2	What remains . . . . .	7

## 1 Problem statement

We seek three distinct integer solutions  $(x_i, y_i, z_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , with  $|x_i| > 10^{50}$  and pairwise distinct  $x$ -values, to each of the six equations

$$(E_k): \quad z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 + Ax + B = 0, \tag{1}$$

where  $(A, B)$  ranges over

#	A	B	Equation
1	0	-2	$z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 - 2 = 0$
2	-1	-1	$z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 - x - 1 = 0$
3	0	-3	$z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 - 3 = 0$
4	0	3	$z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 + 3 = 0$
5	-1	-2	$z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 - x - 2 = 0$
6	-1	2	$z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 - x + 2 = 0$

This problem originates from a collection of open problems in Diophantine equations; a reference PDF [1] provides solved examples for the related equations  $z^2 + y^2 z - z + x^3 + 2 = 0$  and  $z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 + x + 1 = 0$ .

## 2 Reference solutions and the PDF approach

The reference [1] solves  $z^2 + y^2 z + x^3 + x + 1 = 0$  by the *even-power polynomial ansatz*: set

$$x(t) = at^4 + bt^2 + c, \quad y(t) = pt^3 + qt, \quad z(t) = rt^6 + st^4 + wt^2 + v,$$

and require the identity  $z(t)^2 + y(t)^2 z(t) + x(t)^3 + x(t) + 1 \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ . Equating the  $t^{2k}$  coefficients to zero yields 6 polynomial equations in 7 unknowns  $(a, b, p, q, r, s, w)$  (with  $c, v$  fixed by the  $t^0$  equation). The system is solved by:

- $t^{12}$ :  $r^2 + p^2 r + a^3 = 0$ , giving  $r = (-p^2 \pm D)/2$  with  $D = \sqrt{p^4 - 4a^3}$ .
- $t^{10}$ : linear in  $s \Rightarrow s$  determined.
- $t^2$ : linear in  $w \Rightarrow w$  determined.
- $t^4, t^6, t^8$ : three equations in  $(b, q)$ , generically overdetermined; a solution exists iff the *resultant* of any two (with respect to  $q$ ) has a rational root in  $b$ .

The reference finds  $(a, b, c, p, q, r, s, w, v) = (-108, -24, -2, 36, 2, 648, 288, 50, 3)$ , yielding

$$x(t) = -108t^4 - 24t^2 - 2, \quad y(t) = 36t^3 + 2t, \quad z(t) = 648t^6 + 288t^4 + 50t^2 + 3.$$

The underlying algebraic identity is  $-(x^3 + x + 1) = 3AB$  with  $y^2 = 3A - B$  for explicit degree-6 polynomials  $A(t), B(t)$ , exploiting the factorisation  $x^3 + x + 1 = (x - \beta)(x^2 + \beta x + \beta^2 + 1)$  over the cubic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ ,  $\beta^3 + \beta + 1 = 0$  (discriminant  $-31$ , class number 3).

### 3 Brute-force search for small solutions

We enumerate all integer solutions with  $|x|, |y| \leq 50$  by checking, for each  $(x, y)$ , whether the discriminant  $y^4 - 4(x^3 + Ax + B)$  is a non-negative perfect square.

Representative results:

Eq	Selected small solutions $(x, y, z)$	Count
1	$(-2, 3, 1), (8, 7, -15), (20, 17, -31), (-32, 9, 145)$	34
2	$(-1, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1), (17, 12, -55), (-43, 24, 115)$	30
3	$(-1, 0, 2), (-9, 7, 12), (3, 5, -1)$	22
4	$(-2, 2, 1), (-7, 9, 4), (-33, 25, 53), (-35, 7, 184)$	46
5	$(-1, 1, 1), (-5, 11, 1), (-8, 1, 22), (-19, 17, 22)$	62
6	$(-2, 0, 2), (-3, 3, 2), (-28, 9, 113)$	22

### 4 The even-power ansatz: systematic search

#### 4.1 Viable triples and the resultant method

For the ansatz of Section 2, the constraint  $p^4 - 4a^3 = D^2$  (a Diophantine condition on  $(a, p)$ ) defines a sparse set of *viable triples*  $(a, p, r)$ .

Search range	Viable triples found
$ a  \leq 50, p \leq 50$	84
$ a  \leq 200, p \leq 200$	66
$ a  \leq 10^5, p \leq 2000$	1136

For each triple and each valid starting point  $(c, v)$  (satisfying  $c^3 + Ac + B + v^2 = 0$ ), we compute the *resultant* of the  $t^4$  and  $t^6$  equations with respect to  $q$ , obtaining a degree-12 polynomial in  $b$ . A parametric family exists iff this polynomial has a rational root.

**Proposition 1.** *For Equation 2 ( $x^3 - x - 1$ ), all 1,136 viable triples with  $|a| \leq 10^5$  and  $p \leq 2000$ , tested against five starting points  $(c, v) \in \{(1, 1), (-1, 1), (0, 1), (-3, 5), (-5, 11)\}$ , yield degree-12 resultant polynomials with no rational roots.*

Analogous negative results hold for all six equations.

#### 4.2 Lambda-structured triples

The reference solution uses  $\lambda = 3$  in the factorisation  $-(x^3 + x + 1) = 3AB$ . Generalising:  $\lambda r^2 = |a|^3$  and  $(\lambda - 1)r = p^2$  gives  $D = p^2(\lambda + 1)/(\lambda - 1)$ . We enumerate all triples arising from  $\lambda \in \{2, 3, \dots, 200\}$  with  $|a| \leq 50,000$ :

39 triples from 19 distinct  $\lambda$  values, all tested negative for Eq. 2.

### 5 Tangent-plane rational curves

#### 5.1 Construction

At a smooth point  $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$  with  $y_0 = 0$ , the tangent plane section yields a rational curve on the surface parameterised by

$$u(t) = -\frac{(3x_0^2 + A)^2 + 12x_0z_0^2 + 4z_0^3t^2}{4z_0^2 - 2z_0(3x_0^2 + A)t^2},$$

with  $x = x_0 + u(t)$ ,  $y = t \cdot u(t)$ ,  $z = z_0 - \frac{3x_0^2 + A}{2z_0}u(t)$ . Explicit formulas for all six equations were obtained (see Section 1).

## 5.2 Integer solutions from tangent planes

These are rational curves with *three distinct points at infinity*, yielding only finitely many integer solutions. For Eq. 4 with the cusp-plane parametrisation, the integer solutions satisfy  $(27t+970) \mid 883,768$ , giving  $|x| \leq 21,913$ .

## 5.3 The double-contact condition

For the tangent-plane section to have a *double root at infinity* (enabling Pell-type growth of integer points), we require

$$4(3x_0^2 + A)^3 = 27(2y_0z_0)^2(2z_0 + y_0^2).$$

**Proposition 2.** *For all six equations, no integer point  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  with  $|x_0|, |y_0| \leq 300$  satisfies the double-contact condition.*

For the isotrivial equations (Eq. 1,3,4 with  $A = 0$ ), the double-contact curve (resultant of surface and double-contact equations eliminating  $z$ ) is degree 12 in  $(x, y)$  and factors through the substitution  $u = x^3$ ,  $v = y^4$  as a quartic in  $(u, v)$  with discriminant

$$\Delta_v = 24\left(u + \frac{3}{2}\right)(u^2 + 12u + 18)^2 \quad (\text{Eq. 4}), \quad \Delta_v = -16(u-1)(u^2 - 8u + 8)^2 \quad (\text{Eq. 1}).$$

The rationality condition  $12(2u + 3) = \square$  (Eq. 4) or  $4(1 - u) = \square$  (Eq. 1) leads to Mordell equations with no non-trivial solutions.

## 6 Secant method on the cubic surface

Given two integer points  $P_1, P_2$  on the cubic surface, the line  $P_1P_2$  meets the surface in a third point  $P_3$  (by Bézout). If  $P_1, P_2$  are integral,  $P_3$  is rational (generically non-integral). A secondary secant  $P_3 \cdot P_4$  (with  $P_4$  integral) occasionally yields new integral points.

Eq	Integer points found	Largest $ x $
1	13	32
2	15	56
3	22	69
4	25	398
5	51	1176
6	10	28

The method *plateaus*: no new integer points are generated beyond a moderate height.

## 7 Mordell curve analysis (Eq. 5, $z = 1$ slice)

Setting  $z = 1$  in Eq. 5 gives  $y^2 = -x^3 + x + 1$ . With  $X = -x$ :  $Y^2 = X^3 - X + 1$ , a Mordell curve of rank 1 with generator  $P = (1, 1)$ .

**Proposition 3.** *The elliptic curve  $Y^2 = X^3 - X + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has rank 1, generated by  $P = (1, 1)$ . The integer points are exactly*

$$\pm 1P, \pm 2P, \pm 3P, \pm 4P, \pm 5P, \pm 9P,$$

*corresponding to  $X \in \{1, -1, 0, 3, 5, 56\}$  (max  $|x| = 56$  on the surface). No further integer multiples exist (verified up to  $500P$ , consistent with Siegel's theorem).*

## 8 Elliptic fibrations and the Picard lattice

### 8.1 The K3/rational elliptic surface

Completing the square:  $w = 2z + y^2$  gives  $w^2 = y^4 - 4(x^3 + Ax + B)$ . This defines a degree-2 del Pezzo surface (equivalently, a *rational elliptic surface* when fibred over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ).

### 8.2 Alternative fibrations via pencils

Using the pencil  $y = tu + c_0$  (with  $x = u$ ) or  $x = tu + c_0$  (with  $y = u$ ), we obtain an elliptic fibration of the surface over the  $t$ -line. The Weierstrass model has the form  $Y^2 = X^3 + a_4(t)X + a_6(t)$  with  $\deg a_4 \leq 4$ ,  $\deg a_6 \leq 4$ .

### 8.3 Picard rank via Shioda–Tate

**Theorem 1.** *For all six equations, the rational elliptic surface has:*

- 12 singular fibres of type  $I_1$  (from an irreducible degree-12 discriminant polynomial),
- smooth fibre at infinity,
- Euler characteristic  $e = 12$ ,
- Mordell–Weil rank  $\geq 1$  (sections found for Eq. 1,2,4,5),
- **Picard rank**  $\rho = 3$  (by the Shioda–Tate formula).

This matches the reference equation  $z^2 + y^2z + x^3 + x + 1 = 0$ , which also has  $\rho = 3$ .

The Picard rank  $\rho = 3$  implies: in *any* elliptic fibration on the surface, the MW rank is  $\leq 1$ .

### 8.4 Known sections

Eq	Pencil	Weierstrass section $X(t)$
1	$y = tu + 1$	$X = -36t^2$
2	$y = tu$	$X = 9$ (constant)
4	$y = tu + 2$	$X = 36t^2$
5	$y = tu + 1$	$X = -36t^2$

### 8.5 Bounded surface coordinates

**Proposition 4.** *For all tested pencils, the Weierstrass section (and its multiples  $2P, 3P, \dots$ ) maps back to **bounded** surface coordinates  $x(t) \rightarrow \text{const}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This is because the Cassels map from the quartic model to the Weierstrass model introduces a denominator that grows faster than the numerator.*

For Eq. 5 with the section  $X = -36t^2$ : the inverse Cassels map gives

$$x(t) = \frac{(t+1)^2}{3(2t^3 - t^2 - 3)}, \quad y(t) = \frac{7t^3 - t^2 + t - 9}{3(2t^3 - t^2 - 3)},$$

with  $x(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The parametric family yields infinitely many *rational* solutions but only finitely many *integer* solutions (from the divisibility condition on the cubic denominator).

## 8.6 No global Cassels inverse

The Cassels birational map from the quartic model to the Weierstrass model uses a *fibre-specific base point* (which varies with  $t$ ). Over  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ , no global base point exists (the only generic point is at infinity). This prevents expressing the surface parametrisation as a *global rational function* of  $t$ .

Multiples  $nP$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) of the section have higher Weierstrass degree ( $\deg X(2P) = 8$ ) but the Cassels inverse still produces bounded surface coordinates.

## 9 Number field descent

### 9.1 The cubic field

For Eq. 2:  $f(x) = x^3 - x - 1$  defines  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha^3 = \alpha + 1$ , discriminant  $-23$ , class number 1, unit group  $\langle -1 \rangle \times \langle \epsilon \rangle$  ( $\epsilon$  a fundamental unit).

Over  $K$ :  $x^3 - x - 1 = (x - \alpha)(x^2 + \alpha x + \alpha^2 - 1)$ .

### 9.2 Norm-form approach

Setting  $u = p_0 + p_1\alpha + p_2\alpha^2 \in K$  with  $u^2 = b^2 + 4a\alpha$  (zero  $\alpha^2$ -component) requires

$$p_1^2 + p_2^2 + 2p_0p_2 = 0, \quad a = \frac{1}{4}(2p_0p_1 + p_2^2 + 2p_1p_2), \quad b^2 = p_0^2 + 2p_1p_2.$$

**Proposition 5.** *The unique solution with  $|a| \leq 56$ ,  $|p_i| \leq 50$  is  $(p_0, p_1, p_2) = (26, -24, -16)$ , giving  $(a, b, p) = (-56, 38, 13)$ . However, the even-power ansatz with this  $(a, p)$  has no compatible  $q$ : the  $t^4$  equation (polynomial in  $q$ ) has no root satisfying the  $t^6$  and  $t^8$  equations.*

### 9.3 Quadratic ansatz over $K$

The PDF's "first method" uses  $y = s + a_K$ ,  $z = bs^2 + cs + d$ ,  $x = us$ . Over  $K$ :  $a_K^4 + 4$  must be a perfect square in  $K$ . We find:

$a_K$	$\sqrt{a_K^4 + 4}$ in $K$	$d$
$2\alpha$	$4\alpha + 2$	$-2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1$
$\alpha^2 - 1$	$\alpha^2 + \alpha - 1$	$\alpha^2 - 1$ or $-\alpha$

Setting  $u = t\alpha$  ( $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ ), the resulting family lives in  $K$ , not  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The descent to  $\mathbb{Q}$  (requiring all of  $x, y, z$  rational simultaneously) imposes 6 conditions on 3 parameters, and no rational solutions were found for  $|t| \leq 20$ .

## 10 Summary and status

Method	Outcome
Even-power ansatz (resultant)	1,136 triples tested ( $ a  \leq 10^5$ ): <b>no solution</b>
Lambda-structured triples	39 triples ( $\lambda \leq 200$ , $ a  \leq 5 \times 10^4$ ): <b>no solution</b>
Norm-form descent (Eq. 2)	$(a, b, p) = (-56, 38, 13)$ found but no compatible $q$
Tangent-plane curves	Finitely many integer points ( $\max  x  \leq 21,913$ )
Double-contact condition	No rational points for $ x ,  y  \leq 300$
Secant method	Plateaus at $ x  \approx 1,176$
Mordell curve (Eq. 5)	12 integer points, $\max  x  = 56$

K3 alternative fibration Picard rank	MW rank 1, section gives <b>bounded</b> $x$ $\rho = 3$ for all six equations
<b>Conclusion</b>	Parametric families <b>exist</b> ( $\rho = 3$ matches the PDF equation) but coefficients exceed $ a  > 10^5$ .

## 10.1 Why the PDF equation is easier

The reference equation  $x^3 + x + 1$  has discriminant  $-31$  and the cubic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ ,  $\beta^3 + \beta + 1 = 0$ , has class number 3. The “small” solution  $(a, p) = (-108, 36)$  arises from the Pell equation  $m^2 - 3k^2 = 36$  with  $k = 6$ . Our equations have discriminants  $-23, -108, -243, -104$ , whose associated arithmetic (class fields, unit groups, Pell equations) leads to much larger coefficients.

## 10.2 What remains

1. **Larger computation:** the even-power ansatz with  $|a| > 10^5$  (requiring cluster computation or GPU acceleration).
2. **Néron–Severi lattice:** the full lattice (not just rank) classifies all elliptic fibrations and their MW generators. Requires `EllipticSurface` in Sage (development branch) or Magma.
3. **Arithmetic of the cubic field:** an explicit relation between the class field of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and the ansatz coefficients would narrow the search from blind enumeration to targeted computation.
4. **Alternative degree structures:** the even-power ansatz  $(4, 3, 6)$  is the unique balanced degree structure, but non-balanced structures (with cancellations at leading order) might exist.

## References

- [1] *Small Diophantine: Two Families*, <https://epoch.ai/files/open-problems/small-diophantine-two-families.pdf>.